NEGATIVE LYAPUNOV EXPONENTS FOR DISSIPATIVE SYSTEMS
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By analyzing time-reversed trajectories from irreversible dissipative systems, we effectively reverse the order and signs of all the Lyapunov exponents. This reversal makes it possible to obtain the most negative Lyapunov exponents relatively easily. We illustrate the validity of this idea by studying the Lorenz model of Rayleigh–Bénard instability.

1. Introduction

The Lyapunov exponents [1] describe the exponential divergence or convergence of phase-space objects: one-dimensional lengths, two-dimensional areas, three-dimensional volumes, and so on. The largest Lyapunov exponent, \( \lambda_1 \), describes the time-averaged separation rate of two neighboring trajectories separated by the length \( \delta_L \):

\[
\dot{\delta}_L = \lambda_1 \delta_L .
\]  

Thus \( \lambda_1 \) measures the rate at which one-dimensional phase-space objects grow. The rate at which a two-dimensional area \( \delta_A \) (defined by three neighboring trajectories) diverges or converges requires an additional exponent \( \lambda_2 \):

\[
\dot{\delta}_A = (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) \delta_A .
\]

Likewise, the sum of the first \( n \) Lyapunov exponents describes the divergence or convergence rate of an \( n \)-dimensional phase-space volume. Provided that at least one Lyapunov exponent is positive, so that neighboring trajectories diverge, the phase-space motion is called “chaotic”. Unstable chaotic motion, as detailed by the Lyapunov exponents, is the mechanism which underlies the irreversibility of the second law of thermodynamics [2,3], and so has been studied intensively.

Bennett, Calgani, and Strelcyn pioneered the numerical calculation of Lyapunov exponents in 1976 [4]. They time-averaged the rate at which a “satellite” trajectory moves away from a “reference” trajectory. A more primitive approach had already been successfully applied to a two-dimensional many-body fluid by Stoddard and Ford [5]. The corresponding treatment of the complete spectrum was elaborated by Shimada and Nagashima [6]. To validate their numerical technique Shimada and Nagashima studied the “Lorenz” equations [7],

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x} &= \sigma(y-x), \\
\dot{y} &= rx - y - xz, \\
\dot{z} &= -bz + yx .
\end{align*}
\]
The Lorenz example problem, treated below, furnishes a crude description of unstable fluid flow. The unstable fluid exhibits “Rayleigh–Bénard” instability. The Lorenz model (3) is a set of three coupled equations describing the Fourier analysis of the velocity and temperature in a fluid heated from below in a vertical gravitational field. Close to equilibrium the fluid is motionless, and temperature decreases linearly with height. For a sufficiently large top-to-bottom temperature difference, vortices develop. The Lorenz variables $x$, $y$, and $z$ describe the clockwise speed of vortex rotation, the horizontal variation in temperature, and the fluctuating variation in vertical temperature, all truncated to the first nonvanishing Fourier component. The positive parameters $\sigma$, $r$, and $b$ correspond respectively to the fluid’s Prandtl number, the Rayleigh number, and the aspect ratio of the vortices. Popular interest in these Lorenz equations (3) and the corresponding Lorenz attractor (see fig. 1) spawned “chaos” as a popular and legitimate field of study. In this sense chaos is the dynamical behavior of Lyapunov-unstable systems.

Very recently, borrowing ideas from nonequilibrium molecular dynamics [8], we pointed out that a continuous version of Benettin's rescaling idea allows the Lyapunov spectrum to be determined by a Lagrange-multiplier method [9]. The Lagrange-multiplier method is efficient for small systems and shows very directly that the Lyapunov spectrum changes sign for reversible equations of motion. By using both Benettin's classical method and the more elegant Lagrange-multiplier method, we established the relatively simple form of the Lyapunov spectra for realistic many-body systems, both at, and away from, equilibrium [3]. The simple nature of the spectra suggests that a fairly complete characterization can be obtained if the first few positive and negative Lyapunov exponents are known. Here we show how to calculate negative Lyapunov exponents by analyzing, in reversed time order, stored points, previously generated along a forward-time phase-space trajectory. These negative Lyapunov exponents are of particular interest away from equilibrium, where the (negative) exponent sum is directly related to the irreversible entropy production [2]. The method illustrated here for ordinary differential equations can just as well be applied to irreversible equations such as the Navier–Stokes equations or to time series de-

Fig. 1. Lorenz attractor trajectory corresponding to a time interval from 50 to 250 taken from a 300000-step run with timestep $dt=0.001$ with the parameters listed in eq. (4) and initial point $(11, 16, 28)$. The Lorenz variable $z$ as well as the Lyapunov exponents $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_3$ are plotted as functions of the Lorenz variable $x$. 
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2. Lorenz model calculations [6,7]

In all of our calculations we use the parameter values from ref. [5] to describe an unstable Rayleigh–Bénard fluid in the chaotic regime:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x} &= 16(y - x), \\
\dot{y} &= 40x - y - xz, \\
\dot{z} &= -4z + xy.
\end{align*}
\]

The largest Lyapunov exponent \( \lambda_1 \) is found by considering the motion of a "satellite" trajectory constrained to remain near a "reference" trajectory. We choose initial conditions near the attractor, shown in fig. 1, at the point \((x, y, z) = (11, 16, 28)\). The direction of the satellite trajectory relative to the reference trajectory is specified by the vector \( \delta = (\delta_x, \delta_y, \delta_z) \). The subsequent motion of the "unconstrained" displacement could then be calculated by solving the linearized equations of motion derived from (4):

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{\delta}_x &= 16(\delta_y - \delta_x), \\
\dot{\delta}_y &= 40\delta_x - \delta_y - x\delta_z - 2\delta_x, \\
\dot{\delta}_z &= -4\delta_z + x\delta_y + y\delta_x.
\end{align*}
\]

A Lagrange parameter \( \lambda \), given by

\[
\lambda = \dot{\delta}_u / \delta^2,
\]

when used in the new "constrained" equations of motion

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{\delta}_x &= \dot{\delta}_x - \lambda \delta_x, \\
\dot{\delta}_y &= \dot{\delta}_y - \lambda \delta_y, \\
\dot{\delta}_z &= \dot{\delta}_z - \lambda \delta_z
\end{align*}
\]

keeps the offset between the satellite and reference trajectory constant in time. The forward-time-averaged lagrangian multiplier \( \langle \lambda \rangle_f \) is the largest Lyapunov exponent \( \lambda_1 \). For small systems, this Lagrange-multiplier procedure is more efficient than Benettin's equivalent procedure of rescaling the vector \( \delta = (\delta_x, \delta_y, \delta_z) \) at every timestep.

At a series of times equal to those chosen by Shimada and Nagashima, we recorded the time-averaged Lyapunov exponent in the forward direction of time, \( \langle \lambda \rangle_f = \lambda_1 \). Then, the 409601 stored trajectory values of \( x, y, \) and \( z \) were analyzed in reverse order. We show that the results are independent of the initial orientation of the reference-to-satellite vector \( \delta \) by carrying out three separate calculations, with the initial direction of the vector \( \delta \) chosen parallel to the \( x, y, \) and \( z \) axes, respectively. We found that in the forward direction of time our largest Lyapunov ex-
Table 1
The largest and smallest Lyapunov exponents, $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_3$, found using basis vectors initially oriented in the $x$, $y$, and $z$ directions as a function of time for the Lorenz model of eq. (4) of the text. The equations were solved with 409600 fourth-order Runge-Kutta timesteps of 0.01 and with initial conditions close to the attractor $(x, y, z) = (11, 16, 28)$. The entries $\delta_{x,t}$, $\delta_{y,t}$, and $\delta_{z,t}$ give the forward-direction basis-vector dot products for the three different initial vectors, and indicate the rapid convergence of the satellite trajectory directions to the direction specified by the largest Lyapunov exponent, $\lambda_1$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>$\langle \lambda \rangle_{xf}$</th>
<th>$\langle \lambda \rangle_{xf}$</th>
<th>$\langle \lambda \rangle_{xf}$</th>
<th>$\langle \lambda \rangle_{xf}$</th>
<th>$\langle \lambda \rangle_{xb}$</th>
<th>$\langle \lambda \rangle_{xb}$</th>
<th>$\langle \lambda \rangle_{xb}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.669</td>
<td>1.293</td>
<td>1.553</td>
<td>-22.302</td>
<td>-21.930</td>
<td>-21.960</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.323</td>
<td>1.518</td>
<td>1.573</td>
<td>-22.453</td>
<td>-22.360</td>
<td>-22.368</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.156</td>
<td>1.254</td>
<td>1.281</td>
<td>-22.385</td>
<td>-22.339</td>
<td>-22.342</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.348</td>
<td>1.397</td>
<td>1.410</td>
<td>-22.404</td>
<td>-22.380</td>
<td>-22.382</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td>1.361</td>
<td>1.373</td>
<td>1.376</td>
<td>-22.381</td>
<td>-22.375</td>
<td>-22.376</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Time $t$:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>$\delta_{x,t}$</th>
<th>$\delta_{y,t}$</th>
<th>$\delta_{z,t}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.9906</td>
<td>+0.9992</td>
<td>-0.9992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-1.0000</td>
<td>+1.0000</td>
<td>-1.0000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2
Coordinates and basis vector components in the forward and reversed directions at time 2048 at $(x, y, z) = (-7.030, -4.111, 37.737)$.

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta_{x,t} &= (+0.535, +0.278, -0.798) \\
\delta_{y,t} &= (-0.535, -0.278, +0.798) \\
\delta_{z,t} &= (+0.535, +0.278, -0.798) \\
\delta_{x,b} &= (+0.937, -0.322, +0.132) \\
\delta_{y,b} &= (-0.937, +0.322, -0.132) \\
\delta_{z,b} &= (+0.937, -0.322, +0.132)
\end{align*}
\]
find the first few positive exponents, and then backward, to find the most negative exponents.

3. Discussion

Our results demonstrate that negative Lyapunov exponents can be determined as quickly and easily as positive exponents, simply by analyzing trajectory data in reverse order. We emphasize that it is not necessary for the equations of motion to be time-reversal-invariant. Of course our procedure can be applied in the time-reversible case too. It is only required that the time derivatives can be calculated from the current state, so that either the future or the past can be generated. Even through the reversed trajectory has no physical significance, the replacement of contractions by expansions makes the negative Lyapunov exponents relatively easy to compute. In the many-body systems studied so far the Lyapunov spectra have a relatively simple shape, often a power law [3]. In such a case the first few positive and negative exponents are enough to characterize the complete distribution.

It is interesting to consider the situation in which data come from time series rather than analytic equations of motion [10–12]. In such a case the analog of equations of motion can be determined by considering the relative deformation of points on neighboring trajectories. Locally the deformation corresponding to an elastic strain-rate tensor, which describes the deformation of a phase-space hypersphere into a hyperellipsoid. Provided that sufficient data are available to construct deformation matrices analogous to eq. (5) of the text, the negative Lyapunov exponents can thus be obtained from time series.
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